**Cabinet response to recommendations of the Scrutiny Committee made on 17/12/2019 concerning The Planning for the 2020 Zero Emissions Zone report**

**Response provided by Cabinet Member for Zero Carbon Oxford, Councillor Tom Hayes**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ***Recommendation*** | ***Agree?***  | ***Comment*** |
| 1. **That the Council considers the suitability of the ‘Zero Emissions Zone’ name based on comparisons with the names and vehicle-charging criteria of other Clean Air Zones.**
 | No | The Council has already undertaken considerable assessment of the name Zero Emission Zone and find it suitable to describe the zone and the Council’s intentions.  |
| 1. **That the Council explores the potential future use of geofencing for hybrid vehicles entering the zero emissions zone.**
 | Yes | When geofencing is widely available it will be appropriate to consider how the technology can be used to enforce the ZEZ. |
| 1. **That the Council revisits the business case for use of fixed cameras as opposed to mobile ANPR, with particular focus on the capital and revenue costs, anticipated fee generation and levels of behaviour change between the two methods of enforcement.**
 | No | The business case will form part of any final signoff for the scheme by both the City and County Council and it will be under review up to that point. |
| 1. **That the Council seeks to extend the Red Zone to include Broad Street and Turl Street.**
 | No | These areas will form part of the Green Zone in 2021/22. No stakeholders have been engaged in these areas to date and it would therefore not be appropriate to include them in the Zone from Dec 2020.  |
| 1. **That the Council amends the proposed daily charges to remove blue badge holders from the charge until December 2030, but for reconsideration as part of a full review of charges in 2024.**
 | No | The Councils are already committed to a review in 2024. |